

UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/970,889 11/14/97 BERGEN SAR12228 **EXAMINER** Г LM01/0313 WILLIAM J BURKE PADMANABHAN, M LAW AND PATENT OPERATION **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SARNOFF CORPORATION 201 WASHINGTON ROAD 5300 2772 PRINCETON NJ 08543-5300 **DATE MAILED:** 03/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/970,889

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Mano Padmanabhan

Group Art Unit

Russell et al.

2772



T	HE PERI	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 💢	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	ь) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Ac is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the dat rejection.	tion, whichever te of the final
	determi calculat	xtension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the approp n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the nining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.13 ated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	purposes of 7 will be
	Appell period	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(d) for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	or within any
Αį	pplicant	t's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Feb\ 28,\ 2000}$ has been considered with the follow DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ing effect,
	The pr	roposed amendment(s):	
		rill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
		rill not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	•
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sir issues for appeal.	-
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims	
	NOT	TE:	
	□ Ap	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly separa	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if sub ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	mitted in a
X	for allo	ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicat lowance because: Attached	ion in condition
	The af	ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were n	
(X-2)	LITE EX	kaminer in the final rejection.	ewly raised by
X	UIG EX	kammer in the final rejection.	ewly raised by
X	For pur	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed:	
X	For pur Claims Claims	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to:	
IX.	For pur Claims Claims	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to:	
	For pur Claims Claims Claims	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed:	
	For pur Claims Claims Claims	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1-26 roposed drawing correction filed on	
	For pur Claims Claims Claims	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1-26 roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	e Examiner.
	For pur Claims Claims Claims The pro	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1-26 roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	e Examiner.

Art Unit: 2772

Response to Arguments

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 2/28/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Anderson teaches layered representation for image coding wherein all but the dynamic object in the foreground may be joined to form a background image mosaic, and, for example the ball traveling in the foreground associates a plurality of foreground images with a background image. Yeo teaches key frame as the first frame occurring in a segment, similar to the background mosaic image being the first frame in the sequence.